

Outcomes Committee Minutes

Monday, April 9, 2018

BE 314

3:00 – 4:30pm

Type of Meeting: *Regular*

Note Taker: *Wendy Stout*

Please Review/Bring: Review the past minutes for accuracy.

Sub Committee Members:

Glenn Haller, Chair

Meeta Goel, Cochair

Svetlana Deplazes, Research Analyst

LaDonna Trimble , Student Services Dean

Stacey Adams, Faculty Division Rep

Tiesha Klundt, Faculty Area Rep

Gary Heaton-Smith, Faculty Division Rep

Cindy Hendrix, Faculty Division Rep

Cynthia Lehman, Faculty Division Rep

Scott Lee, Faculty Area Rep

Tim Lynskey, Faculty Division Rep

Karen Heinzman, Faculty Division Rep

Candace Martin, Faculty Division Rep

Tom O'Neil, Academic Dean

Mary Rose Toll, Faculty Division Rep

Joe Owens, Faculty Division Rep

Wendy Stout, Faculty Division Rep

Eugenie Trow, Faculty Division Rep

Stephen Langjahr, Faculty Division Rep

Nathan Dillon, Adjunct Rep

Items	Person	Action
I. Approval of Agenda		<u>Action Taken:</u> Moved and Seconded- Approved <u>Items added:</u>
II. Opening Comments from the Chairs a	<i>Glenn/ Meeta</i>	<u>Action Taken:</u> No real comments at this time. <u>Follow Up Items:</u>
III. Approval of Minutes	<i>Glenn</i>	<u>Action Taken:</u> Moved and Approved

		<u>Follow Up Items:</u>
IV. Informational Item – Outcomes Committee Schedule 4/23/2018, 5/14/2018	Glenn	<u>Issues Discussed:</u> <u>Action Taken:</u> <u>Follow Up Items:</u>
V. Action Item-Consent Math 110		<u>Issues Discussed:</u> Moved and Seconded- Approved <u>Follow Up Items:</u>
VI. Discussion/Action Item – New Implementation Committee Member	Glenn	<u>Issues Discussed:</u> Cindy feels that she needs to step away from the eLumen implementation committee. Glenn said he felt she was the right person as she talks the same language as they do. Who decision is it to replace Cindy? Glenn feels that we should replace her and we should send two names to the eLumen implementation committee. Cindy also stated that she felt the committee said she should find a replacement. No meeting dates known at this time. Wendy said she would do it just time may be an issue. Tim felt that he has some bad feelings from the team and worries that there is no meeting times. Currently the implementation team is trying to put together a sandbox and figuring out the workings of the program. Tim stated that he would be willing to work with them. Wendy also stated that she is willing to do this. Nathan as well agreed. These names were motioned on. Moved and Seconded- Approved <u>Follow Up Items:</u>
VII. Discussion/Action Item – Possible Roster Changes	Glenn	<u>Issues Discussed:</u> The roster has several issues. Gary is correct. Stacy states hers is not correct but she will stay on, Joe states this is his first year, Wendy states this is her 3 of 3n but will leave it as 1 of 3. Steven stated this is his first. Cindy stated this is 1 of 3. Mary Rose is correct and Karen is 2 of 3

		<p>and Cindy is correct but name is incorrect. Nathan is correct. Tiesha is correct. Steve will change to second year. The changes are made to stager divisions so both are not leaving at same time. The rest seem to be correct.</p> <p>Moved and Seconded- Approved changes that were made This will be sent to senate.</p> <p><u>Follow Up Items:</u></p>
VIII. Discussion/Action Item – Question 2 – Mastery Levels Labels	Glenn	<p><u>Issues Discussed:</u></p> <p>Labels that we want to put on mastery levels. We are going to have 3 levels of Mastery. Exceeds, Meet, Not Meet are the three. How do we define Exceeds? Glenn feels that the exceeds level should be up to the faculty or what the level is.</p> <p>The motion was made that the Meets and Exceeds, Meets, Does not meet are the three levels of mastery.</p> <p>Moved and Seconded- Approved</p> <p><u>Follow Up Items:</u></p>
IX. Discussion/Action Item – Question 6 and 7 – Non-Academic org types and General ILOs	Glenn	<p><u>Issues Discussed:</u></p> <p>Can't go over because those needed are not here.</p> <p><u>Follow Up Items:</u></p>
X. Discussion/Action Item – Other Implementation questions	Glenn	<p><u>Issues Discussed:</u></p> <p><u>Follow Up Items:</u></p>
XI. Discussion/Action Item – Action Plans	Glenn	<p><u>Issues Discussed:</u></p> <p>Types of action plans. Budget request, classroom (curriculum), outreach types of action plans. Stacy what will work with eLumen. Glenn asked Stacy If she had her way as head of program review how would action plans be set up. She would like to the different types of action plans. However, it is hard to have this discussion because it is unknown what eLumen can do with action plans. Tim felt that eLumen was told that it could do this but then felt that Johnathan and James (eLumen implantation heads) stated it was more limited.</p>

		<p>Gleen: This discussion was started before we left weave because they needed work. To help the action plans to have meaning. This has little to do with eLumen other than we can put the action plans in and they can go to program review and the master plan. If we want this we will have to say what each type of action plan and offer examples. This way the faculty can understand the different types and have examples of each. Stacy: maybe we need to change outreach to support services.</p> <p>The three types of action plans that Wendy has agreed to bring examples are; Budget, classroom, and support services.</p> <p><u>Follow Up Items:</u> This will be brought back to the next meeting.</p>
<p>NEXT MEETING DATE: 4/23/2018</p>		