



Program Review 2023 Peer Review Feedback

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: Auto Body, CTE + HSS

Peer Reviewed By: Vanessa Escobar, Gary Heaton-Smith

<p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: #0070C0; margin: 0;">Part 1.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Program Overview</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #D9E1F2; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.</p> </div> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission. </p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i> </div>
<p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: #0070C0; margin: 0;">Part 2A.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Analyze the program review data</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #D9E1F2; padding: 10px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Part 2A: Analyze the program review data, including equity data and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths and Accomplishments: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments? ○ What are the practices that were implemented to increase success and retention rates or program awards? • Opportunities and Challenges: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success? ○ What actions can be taken to help close equity gaps? • Aspirations: Guiding Questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area want to be known for? ○ What is a desired future? </div> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. </p>

	<p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>Part 2B. Required for CTE</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2C. Progress towards Course Improvement Plans or SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress toward past Course Improvement Plans. (List your past CIPs and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2D. Progress towards past program review goals</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

<p>3. Program Review Goals</p>	<p>Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs</p>	<p>Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document AND entered in SurveyMonkey.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document OR entered in SurveyMonkey, but not both.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>5. Program Review Data</p>	<p>Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.</p> <p>Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Review tab • S&R by Demographic Groups tab (Equity data) <p>Optional:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other supporting data/information <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

Additional Comments: *Where appropriate, supporting data in individual sections would help define progress and need.*



Program Review 2023 Peer Review Feedback

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: Air Conditioning, Refrigeration & Ventilation, CTE + HSS

Peer Reviewed By: Vanessa Escobar, Gary Heaton-Smith

<p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: #0070C0; margin: 0;">Part 1.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Program Overview</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #D9E1F2; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.</p> </div> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission. </p> <p><i>Comments: Tie directly to a district mission point, not own mission or program outcomes</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: #0070C0; margin: 0;">Part 2A.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Analyze the program review data</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #D9E1F2; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Part 2A: Analyze the program review data, including equity data and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths and Accomplishments: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments? ○ What are the practices that were implemented to increase success and retention rates or program awards? • Opportunities and Challenges: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success? ○ What actions can be taken to help close equity gaps? • Aspirations: Guiding Questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area want to be known for? ○ What is a desired future? </div> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. </p>

	<p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>Part 2B. Required for CTE</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2C. Progress towards Course Improvement Plans or SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress toward past Course Improvement Plans. (List your past CIPs and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2D. Progress towards past program review goals</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

<p>3. Program Review Goals</p>	<p>Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs</p>	<p>Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document AND entered in SurveyMonkey.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document OR entered in SurveyMonkey, but not both.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</p> <p><i>Comments: Missing SurveyMonkey resource request</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>5. Program Review Data</p>	<p>Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.</p> <p>Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Review tab • S&R by Demographic Groups tab (Equity data) <p>Optional:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other supporting data/information <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>

Additional Comments: *Data listed in individual sections would help understand progress and need.*



Program Review 2023 Peer Review Feedback

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: Aeronautical Science & Technology/AERO, CTE + HSS

Peer Reviewed By: Vanessa Escobar, Gary Heaton-Smith

<p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: #0070C0; margin: 0;">Part 1.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Program Overview</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e6f2ff; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.</p> </div> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission. </p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i> </div>
<p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: #0070C0; margin: 0;">Part 2A.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Analyze the program review data</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e6f2ff; padding: 10px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Part 2A: Analyze the program review data, including equity data and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths and Accomplishments: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments? ○ What are the practices that were implemented to increase success and retention rates or program awards? • Opportunities and Challenges: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success? ○ What actions can be taken to help close equity gaps? • Aspirations: Guiding Questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area want to be known for? ○ What is a desired future? </div> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. </p>

	<p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>Part 2B. Required for CTE</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2C. Progress towards Course Improvement Plans or SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress toward past Course Improvement Plans. (List your past CIPs and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2D. Progress towards past program review goals</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

<p>3. Program Review Goals</p>	<p>Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs</p>	<p>Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document AND entered in SurveyMonkey.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document OR entered in SurveyMonkey, but not both.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>5. Program Review Data</p>	<p>Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.</p> <p>Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Review tab • S&R by Demographic Groups tab (Equity data) <p>Optional:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other supporting data/information <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

Additional Comments: *Specific data in individual sections will help understand progress and need*



Program Review 2023 Peer Review Feedback

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: Aeronautical Sciences & Technology/ AFAB, CTE + HSS

Peer Reviewed By: Vanessa Escobar, Gary Heaton-Smith

<p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: #0070C0; margin: 0;">Part 1.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Program Overview</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #E6F2FF; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.</p> </div> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission. </p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i> </div>
<p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: #0070C0; margin: 0;">Part 2A.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Analyze the program review data</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #E6F2FF; padding: 10px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Part 2A: Analyze the program review data, including equity data and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths and Accomplishments: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments? ○ What are the practices that were implemented to increase success and retention rates or program awards? • Opportunities and Challenges: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success? ○ What actions can be taken to help close equity gaps? • Aspirations: Guiding Questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area want to be known for? ○ What is a desired future? </div> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. </p>

	<p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>Part 2B. Required for CTE</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2C. Progress towards Course Improvement Plans or SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress toward past Course Improvement Plans. (List your past CIPs and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2D. Progress towards past program review goals</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

<p>3. Program Review Goals</p>	<p>Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs</p>	<p>Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document AND entered in SurveyMonkey.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document OR entered in SurveyMonkey, but not both.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>5. Program Review Data</p>	<p>Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.</p> <p>Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Review tab • S&R by Demographic Groups tab (Equity data) <p>Optional:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other supporting data/information <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

Additional Comments: *Good, but there are certain areas that could use additional data to help demonstrate progress and need.*



Program Review 2023 Peer Review Feedback

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: Aeronautical Science & Technology/AFMT, CTE + HSS

Peer Reviewed By: Vanessa Escobar, Gary Heaton-Smith

<p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: #0070C0; margin: 0;">Part 1.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Program Overview</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e6f2ff; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.</p> </div> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission. </p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i> </div>
<p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: #0070C0; margin: 0;">Part 2A.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Analyze the program review data</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e6f2ff; padding: 10px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Part 2A: Analyze the program review data, including equity data and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths and Accomplishments: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments? ○ What are the practices that were implemented to increase success and retention rates or program awards? • Opportunities and Challenges: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success? ○ What actions can be taken to help close equity gaps? • Aspirations: Guiding Questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area want to be known for? ○ What is a desired future? </div> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. </p>

	<p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>Part 2B. Required for CTE</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2C. Progress towards Course Improvement Plans or SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress toward past Course Improvement Plans. (List your past CIPs and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2D. Progress towards past program review goals</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

<p>3. Program Review Goals</p>	<p>Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</p> <p><i>Comments: PLO/SLOs are not identified. Measures of success are vague.</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs</p>	<p>Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document AND entered in SurveyMonkey.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document OR entered in SurveyMonkey, but not both.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>5. Program Review Data</p>	<p>Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.</p> <p>Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Review tab • S&R by Demographic Groups tab (Equity data) <p>Optional:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other supporting data/information <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

Additional Comments: *Additional data in specific sections would help understand progress and needs.*



Program Review 2023 Peer Review Feedback

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: Aeronautical Science & Technology/ALM, CTE + HSS

Peer Reviewed By: Vanessa Escobar, Gary Heaton-Smith

<p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: #0070C0; margin: 0;">Part 1.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Program Overview</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #E6F2FF; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.</p> </div> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission. </p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i> </div>
<p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: #0070C0; margin: 0;">Part 2A.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Analyze the program review data</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #E6F2FF; padding: 10px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Part 2A: Analyze the program review data, including equity data and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths and Accomplishments: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments? ○ What are the practices that were implemented to increase success and retention rates or program awards? • Opportunities and Challenges: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success? ○ What actions can be taken to help close equity gaps? • Aspirations: Guiding Questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area want to be known for? ○ What is a desired future? </div> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. </p>

	<p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>Part 2B. Required for CTE</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2C. Progress towards Course Improvement Plans or SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress toward past Course Improvement Plans. (List your past CIPs and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments: Is this a new program?</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2D. Progress towards past program review goals</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments: Is this a new program?</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

<p>3. Program Review Goals</p>	<p>Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs</p>	<p>Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document AND entered in SurveyMonkey.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document OR entered in SurveyMonkey, but not both.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</p> <p><i>Comments: No requests are made, but the Program Review goals seem to have resource needs</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>5. Program Review Data</p>	<p>Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.</p> <p>Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Review tab • S&R by Demographic Groups tab (Equity data) <p>Optional:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other supporting data/information <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

Additional Comments: *Some missing items. Also, data listed in individual sections helps to understand progress and need.*



Program Review 2023 Peer Review Feedback

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: Trades & Technologies/AM (Advanced Manufacturing), CTE + HSS

Peer Reviewed By: Vanessa Escobar, Gary Heaton-Smith

<p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: #0070C0; margin: 0;">Part 1.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Program Overview</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #E6F2FF; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.</p> </div> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission. </p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i> </div>
<p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: #0070C0; margin: 0;">Part 2A.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Analyze the program review data</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #E6F2FF; padding: 10px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Part 2A: Analyze the program review data, including equity data and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths and Accomplishments: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments? ○ What are the practices that were implemented to increase success and retention rates or program awards? • Opportunities and Challenges: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success? ○ What actions can be taken to help close equity gaps? • Aspirations: Guiding Questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area want to be known for? ○ What is a desired future? </div> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. </p>

	<p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>Part 2B. Required for CTE</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2C. Progress towards Course Improvement Plans or SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress toward past Course Improvement Plans. (List your past CIPs and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments: I'm not sure this is a CIP</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2D. Progress towards past program review goals</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

<p>3. Program Review Goals</p>	<p>Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs</p>	<p>Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document AND entered in SurveyMonkey.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document OR entered in SurveyMonkey, but not both.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>5. Program Review Data</p>	<p>Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.</p> <p>Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Review tab • S&R by Demographic Groups tab (Equity data) <p>Optional:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other supporting data/information <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

Additional Comments: *Data listed in individual sections would help to understand need and progress.*



Program Review 2023 Peer Review Feedback

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: Automotive Technology, CTE + HSS

Peer Reviewed By: Vanessa Escobar, Gary Heaton-Smith

<p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: #0070C0; margin: 0;">Part 1.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Program Overview</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #E6F2FF; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.</p> </div> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission. </p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i> </div>
<p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: #0070C0; margin: 0;">Part 2A.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Analyze the program review data</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #E6F2FF; padding: 10px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Part 2A: Analyze the program review data, including equity data and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths and Accomplishments: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments? ○ What are the practices that were implemented to increase success and retention rates or program awards? • Opportunities and Challenges: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success? ○ What actions can be taken to help close equity gaps? • Aspirations: Guiding Questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area want to be known for? ○ What is a desired future? </div> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. </p>

	<p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>Part 2B. Required for CTE</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2C. Progress towards Course Improvement Plans or SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress toward past Course Improvement Plans. (List your past CIPs and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2D. Progress towards past program review goals</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

<p>3. Program Review Goals</p>	<p>Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</p> <p><i>Comments: Missing PLOs/SLOs</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs</p>	<p>Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document AND entered in SurveyMonkey.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document OR entered in SurveyMonkey, but not both.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>5. Program Review Data</p>	<p>Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.</p> <p>Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Review tab • S&R by Demographic Groups tab (Equity data) <p>Optional:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other supporting data/information <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>

Additional Comments: *Listing specific data in specific sections would help to better understand progress and need.*



Program Review 2023 Peer Review Feedback

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: Trades & Technology/ELEC (Electrical Technology), CTE + HSS

Peer Reviewed By: Vanessa Escobar, Gary Heaton-Smith

<p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: #0070C0; margin: 0;">Part 1.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Program Overview</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #D9E1F2; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.</p> </div> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission. </p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i> </div>
<p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: #0070C0; margin: 0;">Part 2A.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Analyze the program review data</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #D9E1F2; padding: 10px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Part 2A: Analyze the program review data, including equity data and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths and Accomplishments: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments? ○ What are the practices that were implemented to increase success and retention rates or program awards? • Opportunities and Challenges: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success? ○ What actions can be taken to help close equity gaps? • Aspirations: Guiding Questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area want to be known for? ○ What is a desired future? </div> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. </p>

	<p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>Part 2B. Required for CTE</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; padding: 2px;">(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</p> <p><i>Comments: Well done!</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2C. Progress towards Course Improvement Plans or SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress toward past Course Improvement Plans. (List your past CIPs and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2D. Progress towards past program review goals</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

<p>3. Program Review Goals</p>	<p>Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs</p>	<p>Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document AND entered in SurveyMonkey.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document OR entered in SurveyMonkey, but not both.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>5. Program Review Data</p>	<p>Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.</p> <p>Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Review tab • S&R by Demographic Groups tab (Equity data) <p>Optional:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other supporting data/information <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

Additional Comments: *Adding specific data to specific areas would help understand need and progress.*



Program Review 2023 Peer Review Feedback

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: ELTE (Electronics Technology), CTE + HSS

Peer Reviewed By: Vanessa Escobar, Gary Heaton-Smith

<p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: #0070C0; margin: 0;">Part 1.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Program Overview</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #D9E1F2; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.</p> </div> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission. </p> <p><i>Comments: This was more of a program goal and not description of district mission alignment</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: #0070C0; margin: 0;">Part 2A.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Analyze the program review data</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #D9E1F2; padding: 10px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Part 2A: Analyze the program review data, including equity data and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths and Accomplishments: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments? ○ What are the practices that were implemented to increase success and retention rates or program awards? • Opportunities and Challenges: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success? ○ What actions can be taken to help close equity gaps? • Aspirations: Guiding Questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area want to be known for? ○ What is a desired future? </div> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. </p>

	<p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>Part 2B. Required for CTE</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2C. Progress towards Course Improvement Plans or SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress toward past Course Improvement Plans. (List your past CIPs and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2D. Progress towards past program review goals</p>	<p style="background-color: #e1eef6; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

<p>3. Program Review Goals</p>	<p>Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs</p>	<p>Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document AND entered in SurveyMonkey.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document OR entered in SurveyMonkey, but not both.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>5. Program Review Data</p>	<p>Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.</p> <p>Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Review tab • S&R by Demographic Groups tab (Equity data) <p>Optional:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other supporting data/information <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

Additional Comments: *Mostly well done. Adding specific data to specific areas would help understand need and progress.*



Program Review 2023 Peer Review Feedback

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: EMT (Emergency Medical Technician), CTE + HSS

Peer Reviewed By: Vanessa Escobar, Gary Heaton-Smith

<p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: #0070C0; margin: 0;">Part 1.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Program Overview</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #E6F2FF; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.</p> </div> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission. </p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i> </div>
<p style="font-size: 1.5em; color: #0070C0; margin: 0;">Part 2A.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Analyze the program review data</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #E6F2FF; padding: 10px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Part 2A: Analyze the program review data, including equity data and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths and Accomplishments: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments? ○ What are the practices that were implemented to increase success and retention rates or program awards? • Opportunities and Challenges: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success? ○ What actions can be taken to help close equity gaps? • Aspirations: Guiding Questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area want to be known for? ○ What is a desired future? </div> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. </p>

	<p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>Part 2B. Required for CTE</p>	<p style="background-color: #e6f2ff; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2C. Progress towards Course Improvement Plans or SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans</p>	<p style="background-color: #e6f2ff; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress toward past Course Improvement Plans. (List your past CIPs and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2D. Progress towards past program review goals</p>	<p style="background-color: #e6f2ff; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

<p>3. Program Review Goals</p>	<p>Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs</p>	<p>Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document AND entered in SurveyMonkey.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document OR entered in SurveyMonkey, but not both.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>5. Program Review Data</p>	<p>Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.</p> <p>Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Review tab • S&R by Demographic Groups tab (Equity data) <p>Optional:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other supporting data/information <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

Additional Comments: *This was well done. We appreciate the use of data in specific areas to demonstrate need and progress.*



Program Review 2023 Peer Review Feedback

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: CTE/HSS/FTEC Fire Technology

Peer Reviewed By: Gary Heaton-Smith, Vanessa Escobar

<p>Part 1. Program Overview</p>	<p>Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission.</p> <p>Comments: <input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>Part 2A. Analyze the program review data</p>	<p>Part 2A: Analyze the program review data, including equity data and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths and Accomplishments: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments? ○ What are the practices that were implemented to increase success and retention rates or program awards? • Opportunities and Challenges: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success? ○ What actions can be taken to help close equity gaps? • Aspirations: Guiding Questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area want to be known for? ○ What is a desired future? <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.</p>

	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.</p> <p><i>Comments: Review of data is needed for this section.</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>Part 2B. Required for CTE</p>	<p style="background-color: #e6f2ff; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>2C. Progress towards Course Improvement Plans or SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans</p>	<p style="background-color: #e6f2ff; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress toward past Course Improvement Plans. (List your past CIPs and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>2D. Progress towards past program review goals</p>	<p style="background-color: #e6f2ff; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>

<p>3. Program Review Goals</p>	<p>Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs</p>	<p>Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document AND entered in SurveyMonkey.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document OR entered in SurveyMonkey, but not both.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>5. Program Review Data</p>	<p>Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.</p> <p>Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Review tab • S&R by Demographic Groups tab (Equity data) <p>Optional:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other supporting data/information <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.</p>

	<input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report. Comments: <input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED
--	--

Additional Comments: *Well done! Adding specific data in part 2A would help understand need and progress.*



Program Review 2023 Peer Review Feedback

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC’s programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: CTE / HSS / FTW (Wildland Technology Fire)

Peer Reviewed By: Gary Heaton-Smith, Vanessa Escobar

<p>Part 1. Program Overview</p>	<p>Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.</p> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission. </p> <p>Comments: <input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>Part 2A. Analyze the program review data</p>	<p>Part 2A: Analyze the program review data, including equity data and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths and Accomplishments: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments? ○ What are the practices that were implemented to increase success and retention rates or program awards? • Opportunities and Challenges: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success? ○ What actions can be taken to help close equity gaps? • Aspirations: Guiding Questions:

	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e6f2ff; padding: 5px;"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area want to be known for? ○ What is a desired future? </div> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. </p> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis. </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. </p> <p><i>Comments: Review of data is needed for this section.</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>Part 2B. Required for CTE</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e6f2ff; padding: 5px;"> <p>(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data</p> </div> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided. </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant. </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data. </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas </p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2C. Progress towards Course Improvement Plans or SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e6f2ff; padding: 5px;"> <p>Review and comment on progress toward past Course Improvement Plans. (List your past CIPs and progress towards those goals.)</p> </div> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans. </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear. </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made. </p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

<p>2D. Progress towards past program review goals</p>	<p>Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>3. Program Review Goals</p>	<p>Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs</p>	<p>Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document AND entered in SurveyMonkey.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document OR entered in SurveyMonkey, but not both.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>5. Program Review Data</p>	<p>Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.</p> <p>Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Review tab • S&R by Demographic Groups tab (Equity data) <p>Optional:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other supporting data/information

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report. <i>Comments:</i> <div style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></div>
--	--

Additional Comments: *Adding specific data in part 2A would help understand needs*



Program Review 2023 Peer Review Feedback

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC’s programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: CTE/IMTA
Peer Reviewed By: Gary Heaton-Smith, Vanessa Escobar

<p>Part 1. Program Overview</p>	<p>Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.</p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission. <i>Comments:</i> <div style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></div>
<p>Part 2A. Analyze the program review data</p>	<p>Part 2A: Analyze the program review data, including equity data and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths and Accomplishments: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments? ○ What are the practices that were implemented to increase success and retention rates or program awards? • Opportunities and Challenges: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What actions can be taken to help close equity gaps? • Aspirations: Guiding Questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area want to be known for? ○ What is a desired future? <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.</p> <p><i>Comments: Review of data is needed for this section.</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>Part 2B. Required for CTE</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2C. Progress towards Course Improvement Plans or SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Review and comment on progress toward past Course Improvement Plans. (List your past CIPs and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments: More details are needed on progress</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

<p>2D. Progress towards past program review goals</p>	<p>Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>3. Program Review Goals</p>	<p>Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</p> <p>Comments: <i>No information was provided</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs</p>	<p>Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document AND entered in SurveyMonkey. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document OR entered in SurveyMonkey, but not both. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>5. Program Review Data</p>	<p>Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.</p> <p>Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Review tab • S&R by Demographic Groups tab (Equity data) <p>Optional:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other supporting data/information

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report. Comments: <input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED
--	---

Additional Comments: *Adding specific data in part 2A would help understand success and opportunities for improvement. There were no goals listed – goals are needed to evaluate program effectively.*



Program Review 2023 Peer Review Feedback

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC’s programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: CTE/HSS/Medical Office Assistant
Peer Reviewed By: Gary Heaton-Smith, Vanessa Escobar

<p>Part 1. Program Overview</p>	<p>Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.</p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission. Comments: <input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED
<p>Part 2A. Analyze the program review data</p>	<p>Part 2A: Analyze the program review data, including equity data and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths and Accomplishments: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments? ○ What are the practices that were implemented to increase success and retention rates or program awards?

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opportunities and Challenges: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success? ○ What actions can be taken to help close equity gaps? • Aspirations: Guiding Questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area want to be known for? ○ What is a desired future? <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
--	---

<p>Part 2B. Required for CTE</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
---	---

<p>2C. Progress towards Course Improvement Plans or SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Review and comment on progress toward past Course Improvement Plans. (List your past CIPs and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
--	---

<p>2D. Progress towards past program review goals</p>	<p>Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>3. Program Review Goals</p>	<p>Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</p> <p>Comments: <i>No information was provided</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs</p>	<p>Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document AND entered in SurveyMonkey.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document OR entered in SurveyMonkey, but not both.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</p> <p>Comments: <i>Please include resource request in SurveyMonkey link</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>5. Program Review Data</p>	<p>Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.</p> <p>Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Review tab • S&R by Demographic Groups tab (Equity data) <p>Optional:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other supporting data/information

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report. <i>Comments:</i> <div style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</div>
--	---

Additional Comments: *Excellent job on your Program Review!*



Program Review 2023 Peer Review Feedback

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC’s programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: CTE/Aeronautical Science & Technology/MSAM

Peer Reviewed By: Gary Heaton-Smith, Vanessa Escobar

<p>Part 1. Program Overview</p>	<p>Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.</p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission. <i>Comments:</i> <div style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</div>
<p>Part 2A. Analyze the program review data</p>	<p>Part 2A: Analyze the program review data, including equity data and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths and Accomplishments: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments? ○ What are the practices that were implemented to increase success and retention rates or program awards? • Opportunities and Challenges: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What actions can be taken to help close equity gaps? • Aspirations: Guiding Questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area want to be known for? ○ What is a desired future? <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.</p> <p><i>Comments: Please include a review of your data to support strengths/accomplishments and opportunities/challenges section</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>Part 2B. Required for CTE</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2C. Progress towards Course Improvement Plans or SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Review and comment on progress toward past Course Improvement Plans. (List your past CIPs and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

<p>2D. Progress towards past program review goals</p>	<p>Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>3. Program Review Goals</p>	<p>Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</p> <p>Comments: Goals need to be student focused. How might growing the program positively impact students?</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs</p>	<p>Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document AND entered in SurveyMonkey. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document OR entered in SurveyMonkey, but not both. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3. <input type="checkbox"/> N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</p> <p>Comments: Please include resource request in Survey Monkey link</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>5. Program Review Data</p>	<p>Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.</p> <p>Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Review tab • S&R by Demographic Groups tab (Equity data) <p>Optional:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other supporting data/information

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report. <i>Comments:</i> <div style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></div>
--	--

Additional Comments:



Program Review 2023 Peer Review Feedback

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC’s programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: CTE/Health Safety Sciences/Nutrition
Peer Reviewed By: Gary Heaton-Smith, Vanessa Escobar

<p>Part 1. Program Overview</p>	<p>Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.</p>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission. <i>Comments:</i> <div style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></div>
<p>Part 2A. Analyze the program review data</p>	<p>Part 2A: Analyze the program review data, including equity data and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths and Accomplishments: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments? ○ What are the practices that were implemented to increase success and retention rates or program awards? • Opportunities and Challenges: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What actions can be taken to help close equity gaps? • Aspirations: Guiding Questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area want to be known for? ○ What is a desired future? <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>Part 2B. Required for CTE</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2C. Progress towards Course Improvement Plans or SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Review and comment on progress toward past Course Improvement Plans. (List your past CIPs and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments: For clarity, additional information would be helpful</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

<p>2D. Progress towards past program review goals</p>	<p>Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>3. Program Review Goals</p>	<p>Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</p> <p>Comments: <i>Goals are not connected to ILOs; steps taken to achieve listed goal are not included</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs</p>	<p>Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document AND entered in SurveyMonkey. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document OR entered in SurveyMonkey, but not both. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>5. Program Review Data</p>	<p>Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.</p> <p>Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Review tab • S&R by Demographic Groups tab (Equity data) <p>Optional:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other supporting data/information

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report. <i>Comments:</i> <div style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></div>
--	--

Additional Comments:



Program Review 2023 Peer Review Feedback

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: CTE/Health and Safety Sciences/Registered Nursing

Peer Reviewed By: Gary Heaton-Smith, Vanessa Escobar

<p>Part 1. Program Overview</p>	<p>Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.</p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission. <i>Comments:</i> <div style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></div>
<p>Part 2A. Analyze the program review data</p>	<p>Part 2A: Analyze the program review data, including equity data and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths and Accomplishments: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments? ○ What are the practices that were implemented to increase success and retention rates or program awards? • Opportunities and Challenges: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What actions can be taken to help close equity gaps? • Aspirations: Guiding Questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area want to be known for? ○ What is a desired future? <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>Part 2B. Required for CTE</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2C. Progress towards Course Improvement Plans or SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Review and comment on progress toward past Course Improvement Plans. (List your past CIPs and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

<p>2D. Progress towards past program review goals</p>	<p>Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>3. Program Review Goals</p>	<p>Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs</p>	<p>Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document AND entered in SurveyMonkey. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document OR entered in SurveyMonkey, but not both. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3. <input type="checkbox"/> N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>5. Program Review Data</p>	<p>Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.</p> <p>Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Review tab • S&R by Demographic Groups tab (Equity data) <p>Optional:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other supporting data/information

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report. <i>Comments:</i> <div style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></div>
--	--

Additional Comments: Amazing job with your program review!



Program Review 2023 Peer Review Feedback

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC’s programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: CTE/Health Science Department/RADT

Peer Reviewed By: Gary Heaton-Smith, Vanessa Escobar

<p>Part 1. Program Overview</p>	<p>Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.</p>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission. <i>Comments:</i> <div style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></div>
<p>Part 2A. Analyze the program review data</p>	<p>Part 2A: Analyze the program review data, including equity data and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths and Accomplishments: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments? ○ What are the practices that were implemented to increase success and retention rates or program awards? • Opportunities and Challenges: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What actions can be taken to help close equity gaps? • Aspirations: Guiding Questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area want to be known for? ○ What is a desired future? <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>Part 2B. Required for CTE</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2C. Progress towards Course Improvement Plans or SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Review and comment on progress toward past Course Improvement Plans. (List your past CIPs and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

<p>2D. Progress towards past program review goals</p>	<p>Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>3. Program Review Goals</p>	<p>Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs</p>	<p>Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document AND entered in SurveyMonkey. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document OR entered in SurveyMonkey, but not both. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3. <input type="checkbox"/> N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</p> <p>Comments: Please include resource request in Survey Monkey link</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>5. Program Review Data</p>	<p>Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.</p> <p>Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Review tab • S&R by Demographic Groups tab (Equity data) <p>Optional:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other supporting data/information

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report. <i>Comments:</i> <div style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></div>
--	--

Additional Comments:



Program Review 2023 Peer Review Feedback

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC’s programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: CTE/HSS/Respiratory Care
Peer Reviewed By: Gary Heaton-Smith, Vanessa Escobar

<p>Part 1. Program Overview</p>	<p>Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.</p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission. <i>Comments:</i> <div style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></div>
<p>Part 2A. Analyze the program review data</p>	<p>Part 2A: Analyze the program review data, including equity data and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths and Accomplishments: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments? ○ What are the practices that were implemented to increase success and retention rates or program awards? • Opportunities and Challenges: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What actions can be taken to help close equity gaps? ● Aspirations: Guiding Questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area want to be known for? ○ What is a desired future? <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>Part 2B. Required for CTE</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2C. Progress towards Course Improvement Plans or SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Review and comment on progress toward past Course Improvement Plans. (List your past CIPs and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

<p>2D. Progress towards past program review goals</p>	<p>Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>3. Program Review Goals</p>	<p>Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs</p>	<p>Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document AND entered in SurveyMonkey. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document OR entered in SurveyMonkey, but not both. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3. <input type="checkbox"/> N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>5. Program Review Data</p>	<p>Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.</p> <p>Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Review tab • S&R by Demographic Groups tab (Equity data) <p>Optional:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other supporting data/information

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report. <i>Comments:</i> <div style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</div>
--	---

Additional Comments: Amazing job!



Program Review 2023 Peer Review Feedback

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: CTE/HSS/VN Vocational Nursing
Peer Reviewed By: Gary Heaton-Smith, Vanessa Escobar

<p>Part 1. Program Overview</p>	<p>Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.</p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission. <i>Comments:</i> <div style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</div>
<p>Part 2A. Analyze the program review data</p>	<p>Part 2A: Analyze the program review data, including equity data and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths and Accomplishments: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments? ○ What are the practices that were implemented to increase success and retention rates or program awards? • Opportunities and Challenges: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What actions can be taken to help close equity gaps? • Aspirations: Guiding Questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area want to be known for? ○ What is a desired future? <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>Part 2B. Required for CTE</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2C. Progress towards Course Improvement Plans or SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Review and comment on progress toward past Course Improvement Plans. (List your past CIPs and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

<p>2D. Progress towards past program review goals</p>	<p>Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>3. Program Review Goals</p>	<p>Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs</p>	<p>Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document AND entered in SurveyMonkey. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document OR entered in SurveyMonkey, but not both. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3. <input type="checkbox"/> N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>5. Program Review Data</p>	<p>Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.</p> <p>Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Review tab • S&R by Demographic Groups tab (Equity data) <p>Optional:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other supporting data/information

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report. <i>Comments:</i> <div style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></div>
--	--

Additional Comments: Great job with you Program Review!



Program Review 2023 Peer Review Feedback

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: CTE/HSS/VN Vocational Nursing
Peer Reviewed By: Gary Heaton-Smith, Vanessa Escobar

<p>Part 1. Program Overview</p>	<p>Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.</p>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission. <i>Comments:</i> <div style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></div>
<p>Part 2A. Analyze the program review data</p>	<p>Part 2A: Analyze the program review data, including equity data and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths and Accomplishments: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments? ○ What are the practices that were implemented to increase success and retention rates or program awards? • Opportunities and Challenges: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?

	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e6f2ff; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What actions can be taken to help close equity gaps? ● Aspirations: Guiding Questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area want to be known for? ○ What is a desired future? </div> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis. </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. </p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>Part 2B. Required for CTE</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e6f2ff; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data</p> </div> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided. </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant. </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data. </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas </p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2C. Progress towards Course Improvement Plans or SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e6f2ff; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Review and comment on progress toward past Course Improvement Plans. (List your past CIPs and progress towards those goals.)</p> </div> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans. </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear. </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made. </p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

<p>2D. Progress towards past program review goals</p>	<p>Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>3. Program Review Goals</p>	<p>Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs</p>	<p>Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document AND entered in SurveyMonkey.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document OR entered in SurveyMonkey, but not both.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>5. Program Review Data</p>	<p>Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.</p> <p>Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Review tab • S&R by Demographic Groups tab (Equity data) <p>Optional:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other supporting data/information

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report. <i>Comments:</i> <div style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></div>
--	--

Additional Comments: Great job with you Program Review!



Program Review 2023 Peer Review Feedback

On behalf of the Program Review Committee, we thank you for your time and effort in completing your Program Review report this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your Program Review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college overall.

Department/Area/Division Name: CTE/WELD (Welding)

Peer Reviewed By: Gary Heaton-Smith, Vanessa Escobar

<p>Part 1. Program Overview</p>	<p>Program Overview: Briefly describe how the program contributes to the district mission.</p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: A clear connection is described regarding how the program connects to and supports the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: The work of the program described, but it is not obvious how it contributes to the district mission. <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response does not connect the program to the district mission. <i>Comments:</i> <div style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></div>
<p>Part 2A. Analyze the program review data</p>	<p>Part 2A: Analyze the program review data, including equity data and any internal/external environmental scan information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups, advisory groups, licensure exam scores, & job placement) to identify the program Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths and Accomplishments: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area do well, including capabilities and greatest accomplishments? ○ What are the practices that were implemented to increase success and retention rates or program awards? • Opportunities and Challenges: Guiding Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area need to do better to support/improve student success?

	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e6f2ff; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What actions can be taken to help close equity gaps? ● Aspirations: Guiding Questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What does your program/area want to be known for? ○ What is a desired future? </div> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Thoughtful analysis of program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information is included, with a thorough answer to each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Narrative information is provided regarding program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information, but is lacking analysis of data and/or does not fully address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. The section could be strengthened with more data and analysis. </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not analyze program review data and any internal/external environmental scan information and/or does not address each guiding question regarding Strengths, Opportunities & Aspirations. </p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>Part 2B. Required for CTE</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e6f2ff; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>(Required for CTE only) External Data: Advisory Committee Recommendations & Labor Market Data</p> </div> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Both recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data are provided. </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about an Advisory Committee, but recommendations are not evident and/or Labor Market Data is included, but does not seem current or relevant. </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not include recommendations from the Advisory Committee and current relevant Labor Market Data. </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> N/A: Not applicable for non-CTE areas </p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
<p>2C. Progress towards Course Improvement Plans or SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: #e6f2ff; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Review and comment on progress toward past Course Improvement Plans. (List your past CIPs and progress towards those goals.)</p> </div> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those plans. </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about SLOs/PLOs/OOs and Course Improvement Plans and/or Action Plans for the area, but Plans have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear. </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident that Course Improvement Plans and/or Actions Plans have been developed nor if progress has been made. </p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>

<p>2D. Progress towards past program review goals</p>	<p>Review and comment on progress towards past program review goals. (List your past program review goals and progress towards those goals.)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Past program review goals are clearly listed, along with brief commentary about progress and the current status of those goals.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Information is provided about past program review goals, but goals have not been clearly listed and/or progress is unclear.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or response provided does not make evident what the past goals are nor if progress has been made.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>3. Program Review Goals</p>	<p>Based on Part 2 above, please list program/area goals for 2023-2024.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each goal is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. The goals are student-centered and are connected to the analysis in Part 2 above. Steps to be taken to achieve the goals are delineated, as well as the measure of success for each.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Goals are formed, and each is connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal, but not all goals are clearly connected to analysis in Part 2 and/or are not student-centered. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are provided for each, but may be unclear or hard to understand.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or goals listed are not connected to an ILO/PLO/SLO/OO and ESP Goal. Goals listed are actually resource requests, rather student-centered goals. Steps to be taken to achieve the goal and the measure of success are unclear or not provided.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs</p>	<p>Resource Requests that Support Program Needs (based on above analysis)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Each resource request entered is clearly connected to and supports a goal from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document AND entered in SurveyMonkey.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Resource requests have been entered, but not all are clearly connected to goals from Part 3. Each resource request is entered on the Program Review document OR entered in SurveyMonkey, but not both.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No response or resource requests do not relate to goals from Part 3.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A: No response because additional resources are not needed at this time and/or funding for resources exists from another source.</p> <p>Comments: Please include resource request in Survey Monkey link</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> REVISION REQUIRED</p>
<p>5. Program Review Data</p>	<p>Insert your Program Review Data here, as well as any other supporting data.</p> <p>Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Review tab • S&R by Demographic Groups tab (Equity data) <p>Optional:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other supporting data/information

	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exemplary: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, and is understandable and relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adequate: Program Review Data and other supporting data (if applicable) is inserted, but is difficult to understand and/or may not be clearly relevant to the report.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Improvement Needed: No data is provided, or data provided is unreadable or does not support the report.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> <i>REVISION REQUIRED</i></p>
--	---

Additional Comments: Great job reviewing your data and goals!