



Program Review Peer Review

Program/Division/Area Name:	Kinesiology & Athletics
Date:	3/11/2022

On behalf of the Program Review Committee (PRC), we thank you for your time and effort in completing the Program Review this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your program review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college mission, vision, EMP and other goals.

Program Review Committee Feedback

<i>Program Review Report Section</i>	<i>Exemplary:</i> Reflects a clear and thorough report that presents a well-documented review of the program.	<i>Adequate:</i> The self-study adequately presents program information for each section e.g. analysis of data; narrative information is provided regarding goals/objectives, planning, and recommendations relating to the analysis and use of data, institutional learning outcomes, and mission.	<i>Improvement Needed:</i> One or more sections of the report are lacking and/or contain some inaccuracies. The report must be revised and resubmitted in order to meet the requirements of the program review process. Complete/revise Part(s) mentioned in the Comments Section.	<i>Comments:</i>
Program Overview, Overall	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	See comments below
1.1.Program's contribution to the District Mission	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	See comments below
1.2.Program highlights	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	See comments below
2.A. Results of environmental scan information for program	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	See comments below

2.B. Analysis of program review data	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	See comments below
2.C. Progress towards SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	See comments below
2.D. Progress towards past program review goals	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	See comments below
3. 2020-2021 Planning: Division/Program/Area Goals	X <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	See comments below
4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs	<input type="checkbox"/>	X <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	See comments below

COMMENTS: Overall, this is a thoughtful Program Review centered on the needs of students. See additional comments for each discipline.

Additional Comments:

IATH: Thoughtful SWOT analysis. You've already identified steps to be taken regarding Part 2C and 2D. The goals in Part 3 are student-focused and support your resource requests in Part 4.

ATH: It appears the discipline is being eliminated according to Part 2B Strengths, but then under Opportunities it seems a local degree is being created and there is a need for instructors. It is unclear which direction the discipline is headed.

REC: In Part 2B, the SWOT analysis is useful in understanding the program. Part 2A could be a bit more descriptive, including commentary about internal and external environmental scans. Part 2C appears incomplete. Outcomes Analysis (fka Action Plans) should be written each Fall after analyzing Outcomes data. In Part 2C you are required to comment on your progress toward those plans. Resource requests in Part 4 need to be clearly connected to the goals in Part 3.

HE: In Part 2B, the SWOT analysis is useful in understanding the program. Part 2A could be a bit more descriptive, including commentary about internal and external environmental scans. The statement seems abstract and could be made more concrete with more details and data. In Part 2C, you need to list your Outcomes Analysis (Action Plans) and comment on progress toward them. While it is nice to know that SLO achievement targets are being met, that is not what is asked for here. In Part 2D, you need

to list your Program Review goals from the prior year and comment on progress toward them. The goal in Part 3 is student-focused and support your resource requests in Part 4, however referring to 2C is not valid particularly because 2C is incomplete.

KINT / KINF: The SWOT analysis in Part 2B is thoughtful but could be strengthened with data. In Part 2C, you need to list your Outcomes Analysis (Action Plans) and comment on progress toward them. In Part 2D, you need to list your Program Review goals from the prior year and comment on progress toward them. In Part 3, the first column is just intended to be a number. Typically academic disciplines do not have Operational Outcomes (OOs), but rather Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) and Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs), so it is not clear what is being referenced here. The 2nd column is where you need to connect your goal to an ILO / PLO / SLO / OO which it supports. The resource requests in Part 4 are supported by your goals in Part 3. In Part 5, you must include your Program Review data and any additional data to support your report.