



Program Review Peer Review

Program/Division/Area Name:	Office for Students with Disabilities
Date:	2/23/2022

On behalf of the Program Review Committee (PRC), we thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year and for your ongoing efforts to continuously improve AVC's programs and services for our students. Your program review allows the rest of AVC to better understand your efforts and how they support the college mission, vision, EMP and other goals.

Program Review Committee Feedback

<i>Program Review Report Section</i>	<i>Exemplary:</i> Reflects a clear and thorough report that presents a well-documented review of the program.	<i>Adequate:</i> The self-study adequately presents program information for each section e.g. analysis of data; narrative information is provided regarding goals/objectives, planning, and recommendations relating to the analysis and use of data, institutional learning outcomes, and mission.	<i>Improvement Needed:</i> One or more sections of the report are lacking and/or contain some inaccuracies. The report must be revised and resubmitted in order to meet the requirements of the program review process. Complete/revise Part(s) mentioned in the Comments Section.	<i>Comments:</i>
Program Overview, Overall	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	This review shows a great example of how program review reports should be done. It provided a thorough and detailed overview of the program.
1.1.Program's contribution to the District Mission	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The relationship between the area's programs and contribution to the district's mission is very well articulated.

1.2.Program highlights	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The report clearly highlighted the services of the area and how they affect student learning. Very well organized.
2.A. Results of environmental scan information for program	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The student satisfaction survey data are detailed and comprehensive. The survey questions are well thought out and relevant.
2.B. Analysis of program review data	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The data analysis is detailed and very well summarized. The reflection on strength and weakness provides a foundation for further improvements and future actions. The amount and type of data discussed is more than enough to show the state of the program.
2.C. Progress towards SLO/PLO/OO Action Plans	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	While survey data may have been missing for a brief period, the survey questions listed are likely not the OO's themselves, but rather provide data measuring the OO's. It's important to know what your OO's are and use them to guide your work. No mention is made of any action plans / outcomes analysis, nor progress made on such.
2.D. Progress towards past program review goals	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Progress towards past goals is very well documented and analyzed.
3. 2020-2021 Planning: Division/Program/Area Goals	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	This section is very detailed and well thought out. Great work.

4. Resource Requests that Support Program Needs	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The resource requests are related to the program's goals and activities. It appears that the authors did a thorough analysis of the needs.
---	---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--

Additional Comments:

Complete, thoughtful and great use of data to discuss the progress of the program.

Aim to gather OO data for the 2021-2022 academic year, then analyze it and write Outcomes Analysis (fka Action Plans) in the Fall so you can document your progress in Part 2C next year!